

Partial Differential Equations

Jonas Lampart

Lecture Notes Spring 2025¹

¹These lecture notes are a draft and likely to contain mistakes. Please report any typos, errors, or suggestions to jonas.lampart@u-bourgogne.fr. Version of January 12, 2026

Contents

1. Introduction	2
1.1. Examples	2
2. Linear PDEs with constant coefficients and the Fourier transform	4
2.1. Basic properties	4
2.2. The Schwartz space \mathcal{S}	5
A. Appendix	8
A.1. The Lebesgue integral	8
B. Notation	12

1. Introduction

A partial differential equation (PDE) is an equation whose ‘unknown’ is a function u , and in which (partial) derivatives of that function appear. This is similar to an ordinary differential equation (ODE) but the difference is that the unknown function

$$u : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ (or } \mathbb{C})$$

depends on more than one variable, $d \geq 2$, and derivatives in different directions play a role. Such equations, or systems of equations, arise in many contexts mathematics and applications in physics, engineering, and the sciences – such as electrodynamics, quantum mechanics, dynamics of weather and climate, and the description of materials.

1.1. Examples

1. The heat equation

$$\partial_t u(t, x) = \Delta_x u(t, x) \tag{1.1}$$

describes diffusion of heat in a (homogeneous, isotropic) medium.

2. Schrödinger’s equation

$$i\partial_t \psi(t, x) = -\Delta_x \psi(t, x) + V(x)\psi(t, x) \tag{1.2}$$

describes the wave-function of a quantum particle in an external potential V .

3. The Poisson equation

$$\Delta u(x) = \rho(x) \tag{1.3}$$

gives the electric potential generated by the (static) charge distribution ρ . Maxwell’s equations give a more complete description of electrodynamics.

4. The Euler equation

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t v(t, x) + v(t, x) \cdot D_x v(t, x) + \text{grad}_x p(t, x) = 0 \\ \text{div}_x v(t, x) = 0 \end{cases} \tag{1.4}$$

describes the velocity field $v : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ and pressure $p : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of an incompressible, inviscid fluid. Similar systems, like the Navier-Stokes equations, are used to model the dynamics of fluids and gases with different properties, e.g. water waves or atmospheric currents.

5. The Cauchy-Riemann equations

$$\begin{cases} \partial_x u(x, y) - \partial_y v(x, y) = 0 \\ \partial_y u(x, y) + \partial_x v(x, y) = 0 \end{cases} \quad (1.5)$$

are satisfied by the real and imaginary part of every holomorphic function $f = u + iv : \mathbb{C} \cong \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$ be a ‘multi-index’ and set

$$\partial^\alpha := \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|}}{\partial_{x_1}^{\alpha_1} \cdots \partial_{x_d}^{\alpha_d}}, \quad (1.6)$$

where $|\alpha| = \sum_{j=1}^d \alpha_j$. That is, α_j is the number of partial derivatives in direction j and $|\alpha|$ is the total number of derivatives. Since for $u \in C^k(U, \mathbb{C}^n)$ the partial derivatives can be taken in any order, we can thus express the tensor $D^k u$ by

$$(D^k u)_{j_1, \dots, j_k} = \frac{\partial^k u}{\partial x_{j_k} \cdots \partial x_{j_1}} = \partial^\alpha u \quad (1.7)$$

where α_i is the number of partial derivatives taken in the i -th direction, and $|\alpha| = k$.

Note that we have the generalised Leibniz rule

$$\partial^\alpha (fg) = \sum_{\beta \leq \alpha} \binom{\alpha}{\beta} (\partial^\beta f)(\partial^{\alpha-\beta} g), \quad (1.8)$$

where $\beta \leq \alpha$ if $\beta_j \leq \alpha_j$ for all $j = 1, \dots, d$, and the binomial coefficients are generalised as

$$\binom{\alpha}{\beta} = \prod_{j=1}^d \binom{\alpha_j}{\beta_j}. \quad (1.9)$$

Definition 1.1 (Linear PDE). A PDE is called (inhomogeneous) linear PDE of order k if it has the form

$$\sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} a_\alpha(x) \partial^\alpha u = f(x), \quad (1.10)$$

where $a_\alpha : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$, for $|\alpha| \leq k$, and $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$. The functions a_α are called the coefficients, and the PDE is called homogeneous if $f = 0$.

Question 1.2. Which of the examples in Sect. 1.1 are linear (in-) homogeneous PDEs?

2. Linear PDEs with constant coefficients and the Fourier transform

A particularly simple case of linear differential equations are those with constant coefficients, where the functions $a_\alpha(x) \equiv a_\alpha$ are independent of x . These can be transformed into simpler equations by the Fourier transform.

For $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, the Fourier transform is defined by

$$\hat{f}(p) = (\mathcal{F}f)(p) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-ip \cdot x} f(x) dx. \quad (2.1)$$

Formally, we have with $p^\alpha = \prod_{j=1}^d p_j^{\alpha_j}$

$$\begin{aligned} p^\alpha \hat{f}(p) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p^\alpha e^{-ip \cdot x} f(x) dx \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (-i)^{-|\alpha|} (\partial_x^\alpha e^{-ip \cdot x}) f(x) dx \\ &\stackrel{!}{=} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (i)^{|\alpha|} (-1)^{|\alpha|} e^{-ip \cdot x} \partial_x^\alpha f(x) dx \\ &= (-i)^{|\alpha|} \widehat{\partial_x^\alpha f}(p), \end{aligned}$$

but the integration by parts (without boundary terms!) in the penultimate step certainly needs justification.

If we accept this identity, the linear PDE of Def. 1.1 becomes after transformation

$$\left(\sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} a_\alpha (ip)^\alpha \right) \hat{u}(p) = \hat{f}(p). \quad (2.2)$$

Any solution then satisfies, formally,

$$\hat{u}(p) \stackrel{!}{=} \left(\sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} a_\alpha (ip)^\alpha \right)^{-1} \hat{f}(p).$$

To recover the solution u , however, we will need to invert the Fourier transform.

2.1. Basic properties

A few important properties of the Fourier transform of $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ follow immediately from the definition.

Proposition 2.1. Let $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, denote by \hat{f} its Fourier transform (2.1) and denote by $Rf(x) = f(-x)$ the reflection at $x = 0$ and $T_a f(x) = f(x - a)$ the translation. Then

a) $\widehat{T_a f} = e^{-iap} \hat{f}$

b) $T_a \hat{f} = \widehat{e^{iax} f}$

c) $R\hat{f} = \widehat{Rf}$

d) $\widehat{\widehat{f}} = R\hat{f}$

e) If f is real and even ($Rf = f$) then \hat{f} is also real and even.

Proof. Properties a)–d) follow from simple changes of variables (exercise). Property e) follows by combining c) and d). \square

The Dominated Convergence Theorem A.9 also yields that \hat{f} is continuous.

Lemma 2.2. Let $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and \hat{f} its Fourier transform (2.1), then \hat{f} is continuous.

Proof. Let $p_n \rightarrow p$ be a convergent sequence. Then since $|e^{-ip_n x} f(x)| \leq |f(x)| \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \hat{f}(p_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2}} \int e^{-ip_n x} f(x) dx = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2}} \int \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} e^{-ip_n x} f(x) dx = \hat{f}(p) \quad (2.3)$$

by Dominated Convergence A.9, which proves the claim. \square

2.2. The Schwartz space \mathcal{S}

In order to make the formal calculations from the introduction rigorous and derive consequences for the solutions to the PDE, we start by introducing a class of functions on which the calculations can easily be justified. We will later expand beyond this class by approximation arguments.

A good framework to consider identities such as (2.2) is the space of Schwartz functions, where we can

- differentiate
- multiply by polynomials
- define the Fourier transform and its inverse.

Definition 2.3. The Schwartz space is

$$\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d) := \left\{ f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d) \mid \forall \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^d : \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} |x^\alpha \partial_x^\beta f(x)| < \infty \right\}. \quad (2.4)$$

A sequence f_n , $n \in \mathbb{N}$ in \mathcal{S} converges to $f \in \mathcal{S}$ iff

$$\forall \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^d : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f_n - f\|_{\alpha, \beta} = 0, \quad (2.5)$$

2. Linear PDEs with constant coefficients and the Fourier transform

where

$$\|f\|_{\alpha,\beta} := \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} |x^\alpha \partial_x^\beta f(x)|. \quad (2.6)$$

A map $T : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow X$ into a metric space X is continuous iff T is sequentially continuous, that is, if for every sequence f_n converging to $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T f_n = T f \quad (2.7)$$

converges in X .

Question 2.4. Which of the following functions are elements of $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$?

1. $x \mapsto \cos(x)$,
2. $x \mapsto \cosh(x)^{-1} = 2(e^x + e^{-x})^{-1}$,
3. $x \mapsto e^{-|x|}$,
4. $x \mapsto e^{-x^2}$.

Remark 2.5. The space \mathcal{S} is a complete metric space with the distance

$$d(f, g) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} 2^{-n} \max_{|\alpha|+|\beta|=n} \frac{\|f - g\|_{\alpha,\beta}}{1 + \|f - g\|_{\alpha,\beta}}. \quad (2.8)$$

The notion of convergence defined above is the same as the convergence in the metric d .

Remark 2.6. Functions in \mathcal{S} are smooth by definition, and decrease faster than any inverse polynomial. Hence $\mathcal{S} \subset L^\infty$ with $\|f\|_\infty = \|f\|_{0,0}$, and $\mathcal{S} \subset L^p$ for any $1 \leq p < \infty$, as by the multinomial formula

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x)| &\leq (1 + x^{2d})^{-1} \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^d} |(1 + y^{2d})f(y)| \\ &\leq (1 + x^{2d})^{-1} \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^d} \left| \left(1 + \sum_{|\alpha|=d} \frac{d!}{\alpha!} y^{2\alpha} \right) f(y) \right| \\ &\leq (1 + x^{2d})^{-1} (\|f\|_{0,0} + \sum_{|\alpha|=d} \frac{d!}{\alpha!} \|f\|_{2\alpha,0}), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\int (1 + x^{2d})^{-1} < \infty \quad (2.9)$$

for $p \geq 1$.

For $f, g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ we define the convolution by

$$(f * g)(x) := \int f(x - y)g(y)dy. \quad (2.10)$$

Lemma 2.7. Let $f, g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $\int g = 1$ and set $g_n(x) = n^d g(nx)$, then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (f * g_n)(x) = f(x).$$

Proof. By a change of variable

$$\int f(x-y)n^d g(ny)dy = \int f(x-n^{-1}y)g(y)dy. \quad (2.11)$$

Now the integrand converges pointwise to $f(x)g(y)$ and is bounded by $\|f\|_\infty |g(y)| \in L^1$, so the integral converges to $f(x) \int g = f(x)$ by Dominated Convergence. \square

With this Lemma, we can prove the Fourier inversion theorem on \mathcal{S} .

Proposition 2.8. Define

$$(\mathcal{F}^{-1}f)(x) := \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2}} \int e^{ipx} f(p) dp.$$

Then for all $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$f = \mathcal{F}^{-1} \mathcal{F} f = \mathcal{F} \mathcal{F}^{-1} f.$$

Proof. We admit that $\hat{f} \in \mathcal{S}$, which is proved in Proposition ?? below. Let $g(x) = e^{-x^2/2}$ and $g_n(x) = g(n^{-1}x)$. Then

$$(\mathcal{F}^{-1} \hat{f})(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2}} \int e^{ipx} g_n(p) f(p) dp \quad (2.12)$$

by Dominated Convergence. On the other hand, by Fubini,

$$\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2}} \int e^{ipx} g_n(p) \hat{f}(p) dp = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int e^{ipx} e^{-ipy} g_n(p) f(y) dy dp = \frac{(\hat{g}_n * f)(x)}{(2\pi)^{d/2}}. \quad (2.13)$$

Now $\hat{g}_n(x) = n^d e^{-n^2 x^2/2}$ (see Problem ??), so by the preceding lemma

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\hat{g}_n * f)(x) = f(x) \int g = (2\pi)^{d/2} f(x), \quad (2.14)$$

and thus $(\mathcal{F}^{-1} \hat{f})(x) = f(x)$. The proof for $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{F}^{-1}f)(x) = f(x)$ is the same. \square

A. Appendix

A.1. The Lebesgue integral

This section summarizes those results from the theory of integration that are most important for the course, see [Ru] for an introduction and [LL] for more details.

Let $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be the Borel σ -algebra on \mathbb{R}^d . That is, the smallest collection of subsets $B \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ that contains all open sets and is closed under complements, finite intersections and countable unions. Elements of \mathcal{B} are called measurable sets.

Definition A.1. A measure is a function

$$\mu : \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+ \cup \{\infty\}$$

with the properties

$$\begin{aligned}\mu(\emptyset) &= 0 \\ \mu\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} B_j\right) &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu(B_j)\end{aligned}$$

for any family of disjoint sets $(B_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$.

The Lebesgue measure λ is the unique measure that is invariant by translation and satisfies $\lambda([0, 1]^d) = 1$.

Definition A.2. A function $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is called measurable if for every $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}) \cong \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^2)$

$$f^{-1}(B) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : f(x) \in B\}$$

is measurable, i.e., an element of $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

The characteristic function χ_B of any set $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is measurable. Its integral is defined as

$$\int \chi_B(x) \lambda(dx) = \lambda(B). \quad (\text{A.1})$$

A simple function is a linear combination of characteristic functions. Any measurable function is the pointwise limit of simple functions,

$$f(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^n a_{j,n} \chi_{B_{j,n}}(x). \quad (\text{A.2})$$

A.1. The Lebesgue integral

Moreover, if f is *non-negative*, the simple functions can be chosen so that the value in each point is increasing in n . For a non-negative function one thus defines

$$\int f(x)\lambda(dx) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^n a_{j,n}\lambda(B_{j,n}) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \cup \{\infty\}. \quad (\text{A.3})$$

Since the right hand side is an increasing sequence of numbers that are positive or $+\infty$, this is well defined but possibly infinite.

Definition A.3. A positive measurable function $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is called integrable if (A.3) is finite.

A measurable function $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is called integrable if $|f|$ is integrable.

If $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is integrable, then

$$\int f(x)dx = \int f(x)\lambda(dx) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^n a_{j,n}\lambda(B_{j,n}) \quad (\text{A.4})$$

is a well-defined complex number.

If $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is a measurable set we define

$$\int_A f(x)dx = \int \chi_A(x)f(x)dx, \quad (\text{A.5})$$

where χ_A is the characteristic function. We say that f is integrable on A if $f\chi_A$ is integrable.

If f is Riemann-integrable then f is Lebesgue-integrable and the integrals are equal [Ru, Thm.11.33].

Definition A.4 (Lebesgue spaces). Let $1 \leq p < \infty$

$$\mathcal{L}^p(\mathbb{R}^d) := \{f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : |f|^p \text{ is integrable}\}.$$

The Lebesgue space $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is the quotient of $\mathcal{L}^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ under the equivalence relation

$$f \sim g :\Leftrightarrow \lambda(\{x : f(x) \neq g(x)\}) = 0$$

of equality almost everywhere. It is a Banach space with the norm

$$\|f\|_p = \left(\int |f|^p(x)dx \right)^{1/p},$$

where f is any representative in the equivalence class.

For $p = \infty$ we define $\mathcal{L}^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ as the space of measurable functions for which

$$\|f\|_\infty = \text{ess-sup}|f| := \inf \left\{ t \in \mathbb{R} : \lambda(f^{-1}(t, \infty)) = 0 \right\} \quad (\text{A.6})$$

is finite. The Lebesgue space $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is the quotient of $\mathcal{L}^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ by the same equivalence relation.

A. Appendix

Proposition A.5 (Hölder's inequality). *Let $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$ so that $p^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1$, with the convention that $\infty^{-1} = 0$. Then for $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $g \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)$ we have $fg \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and*

$$\left| \int f(x)g(x)dx \right| \leq \|f\|_p \|g\|_q. \quad (\text{A.7})$$

For $d > 1$ an important result concerns the relation of the d -dimensional integral and the iteration of lower-dimensional integrals.

Theorem A.6. *Fubini-Tonelli Let $n, m \geq 1$, $f : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be a measurable function and $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^{n+m})$.*

a) *If $f \geq 0$, then*

$$\int_A f(x, y) \lambda(d(x, y)) = \int_{\pi_1(A)} \left(\int_{\pi_1^{-1}(\{x\}) \cap A} f(x, y) dy \right) dx = \int_{\pi_2(A)} \left(\int_{\pi_2^{-1}(\{y\}) \cap A} f(x, y) dx \right) dy$$

where $\pi_j(A)$, $j = 1, 2$ are the projections of A to \mathbb{R}^n , \mathbb{R}^m respectively, and the equality is understood in the sense that if one expression is infinite, all are.

b) *If f is integrable on A , then*

a) *The functions*

$$x \mapsto f(x, y), \quad y \mapsto f(x, y)$$

are integrable on $\pi_2^{-1}(\{y\}) \cap A$ for almost every $y \in \mathbb{R}^m$, respectively on $\pi_1^{-1}(\{x\}) \cap A$ for almost every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$;

b) *the functions (set equal to zero where the integral is not defined)*

$$\varphi(y) = \int_{\pi_2^{-1}(\{y\}) \cap A} f(x, y) dx, \quad \psi(x) = \int_{\pi_1^{-1}(\{x\}) \cap A} f(x, y) dy$$

are integrable;

c) *the identity*

$$\int_{\pi_2(A)} \varphi(y) dy = \int_A f(x, y) dy = \int_{\pi_1(A)} \psi(x) dx$$

holds.

The well-known transformation formula holds for the Lebesgue integral.

Theorem A.7 (Change of variables). *Let $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, let $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ be a C^1 -diffeomorphism, and denote by $|J(x)| := |\det D\varphi(x)|$. Then if f is integrable on A , $x \mapsto f(\varphi(x))|J(x)|$ is integrable on $\varphi^{-1}(A)$ and*

$$\int_A f(x) dx = \int_{\varphi^{-1}(A)} f(\varphi(x)) |J(x)| dx.$$

The most important properties of the Lebesgue integral are the convergence theorems.

Theorem A.8 (Monotone Convergence). *Let $(f_n)_n \in \mathbb{N}$ be a sequence of measurable functions with $f_n \leq f_{n+1}$ and*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) = f(x)$$

almost everywhere for some function $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Then f is measurable and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int f_n(x) dx = \int f(x) dx.$$

Theorem A.9 (Dominated Convergence). *Let $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of integrable functions and assume there is a measurable function f so that*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) = f(x)$$

almost everywhere. Assume moreover that there exists a positive, integrable function g so that

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N} : |f_n| \leq g$$

almost everywhere. Then f is integrable and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int f_n(x) dx = \int f(x) dx.$$

An important corollary to this result concerns the exchange of integration and differentiation.

Corollary A.10. *Let $U \subset \mathbb{R}^k$ be open and $f : U \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ a measurable function such that*

1. *for all $\eta \in U$, $x \mapsto f(\eta, x)$ is integrable,*
2. *for almost all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\eta \mapsto f(\eta, x)$ is continuously differentiable,*
3. *there exists a positive, integrable function $g : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ with*

$$\forall \eta \in U : |\nabla_\eta f(\eta, x)| \leq g(x).$$

Then $\eta \mapsto \int f(\eta, x) dx$ is continuously differentiable and for all $j = 1, \dots, k$

$$\partial_{\eta_j} \int f(\eta, x) dx = \int \partial_{\eta_j} f(\eta, x) dx.$$

B. Notation

Symbol	Explanation	Page
\mathbb{N}	Natural numbers (not including zero!)	
\mathbb{N}_0	$\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$	
D	Differential of a vector-valued function	
grad	Gradient of a scalar function, $\text{grad } f = Df$	
div	Divergence of a vector field, $\text{div } v = \text{Tr}(Dv)$	
$B(x, r)$	Open ball of radius r around x	
$\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$	Space of Schwartz functions on \mathbb{R}^d	5
$\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$	Space of tempered distributions on \mathbb{R}^d	??
$L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$	Lebesgue space of p -integrable functions	9
$H^k(\mathbb{R}^d)$	Sobolev space of functions in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with k weak derivatives in L^2	??
X	Usually a complex Banach space	
$B(X, Y)$	Banach space of bounded linear operators from X to Y	
$B(X)$	Banach space of bounded linear operators from X to X	
X'	Space of continuous linear functionals on X ($=B(X, \mathbb{C})$)	??
\mathcal{H}	Complex (separable) Hilbert space	
$A, D(A)$	Densely defined linear operator	??
$\mathcal{G}(A)$	Graph of A	??
\overline{A}	Closure of $(A, D(A))$??
$\ \cdot\ _{D(A)}$	Graph norm on $D(A)$??
A^*	(Hilbert) adjoint of $(A, D(A))$??
$\ker(A)$	Kernel of A	
$\text{ran}(A)$	Range of A	
$\rho(A)$	Resolvent set of A	??
$R_z(A)$	Resolvent of A in $z \in \rho(A)$, $(A - z)^{-1}$??
$\sigma(A)$	Spectrum of A	??
$C^k(U)$	Space of k -times continuously differentiable functions $U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$	
$C_0^k(U)$	Space of k -times continuously differentiable functions $U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with compact support, $\text{supp } f \Subset U$	

Bibliography

- [FA] Guiseppe Dito, Functional analysis. Lecture notes, Université de Bourgogne, 2024.
- [Bre] Haim Brezis, Functional Analysis, Sobolev Spaces and Partial Differential Equations. Springer, 2011.
- [LL] Elliott H. Lieb, and Michael Loss, Analysis. American Mathematical Society, 2001.
- [RS1] Michael Reed and Barry Simon, Methods of modern mathematical physics: I Functional analysis (2nd ed.). Academic press, 1980.
- [RS2] Michael Reed and Barry Simon, Methods of modern mathematical physics: II Fourier analysis, self-adjointness. Academic Press, 1975.
- [Ru] Walter Rudin, Principles of Mathematical Analysis (3rd ed.). McGraw Hill, 1976.